



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,376	11/26/2003	Alan D. Olin	M-16842-4P US	8032
32605	7590	04/21/2008	EXAMINER	
MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP			PASCUA, JES F	
2033 GATEWAY PLACE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 400				3782
SAN JOSE, CA 95110			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/723,376	Applicant(s) OLIN ET AL.
	Examiner Jes F. Pascua	Art Unit 3782

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 February 2008.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,3-24,32 and 33 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,5-9,11,12,15,17-21,23 and 24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1,4,10,13,16,22,32 and 33 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/29/2008 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 3 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities: The status identifiers for claims 3 and 15 should be changed from "(Original)" to --(Withdrawn)-- in order to maintain consistency with applicant's election without traverse, filed on 09/01/2006. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 13 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,007,246 to Kinigakis et al.

Kinigakis et al. discloses a reclosable bag, comprising: a standup bag configured to store material, the bag having a top end (28) having a heat seal and a bottom end (20) having a generally ovoid shape, a first side edge (26, 30) and a second side edge each extending between the top and bottom ends and opposite each other, and a pour spout (24); wherein the first side edge (26, 30) has a non-gusseted portion, the non-gusseted portion located proximate to the top end; wherein the pour spout has a reclosable fastener (34) having at least one of a zipper or a slider and having sealed first and second ends, the pour spout and the reclosable fastener located along the non-gusseted portion of the first side edge and oriented substantially parallel to the first side edge (26); wherein the reclosable fastener is located closer to the top end than to the bottom end; and wherein the bag is configured to rest upon the bottom end. Kinigakis et al. further discloses accessing the reclosable fastener by tearing an outer portion of the bag along a line of weakening (see column 8, lines 22-29), which meets the recitation "a tear strip proximate to the reclosable fastener."

In response to applicant's argument, filed 02/29/2008, that the Kinigakis et al. reference fails to show a certain feature of applicant's invention, it is noted that the feature upon which applicant relies (i.e., a reclosable fastener that is substantially perpendicular to the bottom end) is not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1, 10, 13 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,687,848 to Scholz et al. and the admitted prior art.

Fig. 14 of Scholz et al. discloses a bag comprising a top end heat seal (right-hand side of the bag), a gusseted, bottom end (left-hand side of the bag), opposing first and second non-gusseted side edges (top and bottom sides of the bag) extending between the top and bottom ends and an opening located on the first side edge, proximate to the top end and closer to the top end than to the bottom end. The opening is made reclosable by magnetic fastener. Scholz et al. also discloses the reclosable, magnetic fastener may be provided with interlocking profiles (see column, 8, lines 36-39) or the reclosable fastener may be interlocking strips (see column 13, lines 66-67 through column 14, lines 1-3). The interlocking profiles or strips of Scholz et al. meet the recitation "having a reclosable fastener that is at least one of zipper or a slider" to the same degree as claimed. However, Scholz et al. does not disclose the gusseted, bottom end being generally ovoid in shape. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the bottom end of Scholz et al. generally ovoid in shape or whatever shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally

Art Unit: 3782

recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. This statement of obviousness is taken to be admitted prior art because applicant failed to traverse the statement in the remarks filed 03/09/2007, 08/17/2007 and 02/29/2008. Moreover, the bag of Scholz et al. meets the recitation "standup bag" to the same degree as claimed. The gusseted, bottom end of the Scholz et al. bag renders it capable of assuming a vertical or upright position.

Regarding claims 10 and 22, the angled seal extending beyond the first side edge in Fig. 14 of Scholz et al. meets the recitation "the bag further includes a sealed portion proximate to the top end" to the same degree the claim sets forth the metes and bounds of the term "proximate".

7. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinigakis et al. and U.S. Patent No. 3,387,701 to Schneider et al.

Kinigakis et al. disclose the claimed device, as discussed above, except for the top end and the first side edge having an included angle measuring less than 90 degrees. Schneider et al. discloses that it is known in the art to provide an included angle measuring less than 90 degrees between the top end and first side edge of another dispensing bag. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the top end and first side edge of Kinigakis et al. with an included angle measuring less than 90 degrees, as in Schneider et al., in order to facilitate dispensing contents from within the bag.

8. Claims 1, 13, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,941,643 to Linkiewicz and Kinigakis et al.

Fig. 3 of Linkiewicz discloses a reclosable bag comprising a top end (left-hand side of the bag), a gusseted, bottom end (right-hand side of the bag), first and second side edges (top and bottom ends of the bag) and a pour spout. The first side edge (top end of the bag) has a non-gusseted portion located proximate the to the top end and substantially perpendicular to the bottom end. The pour spout has a reclosable fastener having at least one of a zipper or a slider and located along the non-gusseted portion of the first side edge and oriented parallel to the first side edge. The reclosable fastener has sealed first and second ends and an opening therebetween the sealed ends and the opening are located along the non-gusseted portion of the first side edge. The reclosable fastener is located closer to the top end than to the bottom end. Linkiewicz further discloses accessing the reclosable fastener by tearing an outer portion of the bag along a line of weakening. However, Linkiewicz does not disclose the gusseted, bottom end being generally ovoid in shape. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the bottom end of Linkiewicz generally ovoid in shape or whatever shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. Moreover, the bag of Linkiewicz meets the recitation "standup bag" to the same degree as claimed. The gusseted, bottom end of the Linkiewicz bag renders it capable of assuming a vertical or upright position.

Moreover, Linkiewicz discloses the claimed bag, as discussed above, except for the top end having a fold instead of a heat seal. Kinigakis et al. teaches that it is known in the art that the end of a bag adjacent a reclosable fastener may either be a fold (Fig. 9 of Kinigakis et al.) or a heat seal (86, 94, 104 of Kinigakis et al.) It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the fold of Linkiewicz with one of the heat seals of Kinigakis et al., since Kinigakis et al. shows folded ends and heat sealed ends to be structural equivalents.

9. Claims 4 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linkiewicz and Kinigakis et al. as applied to claims 1 and 13 above, and further in view of Schneider et al.

Linkiewicz and Kinigakis et al. disclose the claimed device, as discussed above, except for the top end and the first side edge having an included angle measuring less than 90 degrees. Schneider et al. discloses that it is known in the art to provide an included angle measuring less than 90 degrees between the top end and first side edge of another dispensing bag. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the top end and first side edge of Linkiewicz with an included angle measuring less than 90 degrees, as in Schneider et al., in order to facilitate dispensing contents from within the bag.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4, 10, 13, 16, 22, 32 and 33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 714.02 and MPEP 2163.06. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 571-272-4546. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jes F. Pascua/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3782